



Editorial

An enigmatic rare tumor of sino-nasal cavity

Bhanushree C S^{1,*}

¹Dept. of Pathology, Indira Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, Kathirkamam, Puducherry, India



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 07-06-2023

Accepted 11-06-2023

Available online 17-06-2023

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com

Hemangiopericytoma was first described by Stout and Murray as highly vascularized tumor arising from Zimmermann's pericytes present in pericapillary connective tissue.¹ Subsequently, many soft tissue tumors showing various types of cellular differentiation were called as hemangiopericytomas. Compagno² as early as in 1976 described Sino-nasal hemangiopericytoma-like tumors as distinct group from other soft tissue hemangiopericytomas. Although Mursahima³ reported first case of nasal hemangiopericytoma.

Haemangiopericytoma is a rare entity comprising only 1% of all vascular tumors and only 5% are localized in the nasal cavity or paranasal sinuses.⁴ Generally, Haemangiopericytoma behaves aggressively but literature review and our case study suggest benign nature of this lesions occurring in paranasal sinuses and skull base.⁵

Compagno et al described clinical, microscopic, and gross features of 23 cases of intranasal hemangiopericytoma-like tumors and considered these lesions as a peculiar form of vascular neoplasm within the histological spectrum of traditional hemangiopericytoma. The microscopic criteria for these tumors were absence of mitotic activity, clear distinction of normal vessels from tumor cells, uniform spindle cells with little or no overlapping of cell borders, absence of necrosis and presence of scattered mast cells. Follow-up of these patients did not reveal any evidence of malignant or biologically

unpredictable behavior.²

But Eichhorn et al⁶ considered them as like other soft tissue hemangiopericytoma with local recurrences in as much as 4 out of 9 cases with follow-up (44%) but low metastatic rates and thought to reflect on the factors like early presentation and small tumor bulk apart from difficulty of complete local resection. Eichhorn et al found more cases of nasal origin compared to Compagno who reported sinus origin of these lesions with secondary nasal involvement. Eneroth et al⁷ reported cases with recurrence and late metastasis and found poor correlation between histological findings and grade of malignancy.

Immunohistochemical studies have shown Sino-nasal hemangiopericytomas are positive for vimentin and focally for actin, but negative for desmin and S-100 and these features were like glomus tumors.⁸ Recent WHO classification includes them together as 'glomangiopericytomas',⁹ and further reports on immunohistochemistry of glomus tumors showed positivity for caldesmon which is not seen in pericytes.¹⁰

Initially described as arising from pericytes, hemangiopericytomas both sino-nasal and soft tissue types are now believed to arise from modified perivascular (actin-positive) glomus-like myoid cell.¹¹ Granter described perivascular spindle and round cell tumors of myoid origin and divided them as myofibromatosis, hemangiopericytomas and myopericytomas. Hemangiopericytomas showed short, spindled, or ovoid cells, with uniform nuclear morphology

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: drbanushree15@hotmail.com (Bhanushree C S).

and palely eosinophilic cytoplasm.¹²

Watanabe et al¹⁰ in their comprehensive review subdivided the reported cases of Sino-nasal hemangiopericytoma into three groups – soft tissue hemangiopericytomas with plump spindle cells showing nuclear atypia, true hemangiopericytomas (previously called as hemangiopericytoma-like tumors) showing myoid differentiation with good clinical outcome, and those reported as nasal glomus tumors.

Hemangiopericytoma-like tumor may be confused with angiofibromas¹³ and solitary fibrous tumors¹⁴ in the sino-nasal location clinically and on imaging. Imaging cannot differentiate these rare lesions from the more common antro-choanal polyps and inverted papillomas. Angiofibromas are locally destructive lesions composed of fibrovascular tissue of varying maturity arising from or adjacent to nasopharyngeal wall.¹³ The tumor occurs exclusively in young men and is much less cellular than the hemangiopericytoma-like tumor and contains mainly fibrous component. Solitary fibrous tumors are rare lesions showing spindle cells with ‘ropy’ keloidal collagen bundles and thin-walled vascular spaces apart from CD34 and bcl positivity in immunohistochemistry.⁹

Fletcher in his review considered Sino-nasal hemangiopericytomas to be of pericytic origin.¹⁵ Despite using immunohistochemistry and electron microscopy for the differential diagnosis and to elucidate the origin of these tumors, the finality of their cell of origin remains inconclusive partly due to the rarity of these unusual lesions and hence the diagnosis of hemangiopericytoma-like tumor is still remains mainly histopathological¹⁶ and on clinical follow-up. Wide surgical resection was done in both cases. Five years after surgery, there was no recurrence in case report 1 patient neither by endoscopy nor by MRI. Patient of case report 2 is asymptomatic on follow-up after two years of surgery. Recently, Georg et al treated Sino-nasal hemangiopericytoma by an endoscopic controlled endonasal tumor resection after embolization with Onyx and there was no recurrence after one year follow-up.¹⁷ Duval reviewed 194 cases of Sino-nasal hemangiopericytoma till 2013 and found no significant difference between rate of recurrence for endoscopic or open resection. Incomplete excision was the most important predictor of recurrence. Radiotherapy may be advantageous in cases of incomplete surgical resection.^{18,19}

References

1. Stout AP, Murray MR. Hemangiopericytoma: a vascular tumor featuring Zimmermann's pericytes. *Ann Surg.* 1942;116(1):26–33.
2. Compagno J, Hyams VJ. Hemangiopericytoma-like intranasal tumors: a clinico-pathologic study of 23 cases. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 1976;66(4):672–83.

3. Murashima J. Case of hemangiopericytoma originating in nasal cavity and nasal sinus of small child. *Otolaryngology (Japanese).* 1961;33:537–9.
4. Hofmann V, Holzhausen HJ, Koesling S, Knipping S. Sinonasal hemangiopericytoma. *Rev Laryngol Otol Rhinol (Bord).* 2010;131(4-5):313–5.
5. Thiringer JK, Costantino PD, Houston G. Sinonasal Hemangiopericytoma: Case Report and Literature Review. *Skull Base Surg.* 1995;5:185–90.
6. Eichhorn JH, Dickersin GR, Bhan AK, Goodman ML. Sinonasal hemangiopericytoma. A reassessment with electron microscopy, immunohistochemistry, and long-term follow-up. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 1990;14(9):856–66.
7. Eneroth CM, Fluor E, Soderberg G, Anggard A. Nasal hemangiopericytoma. *Laryngoscope.* 1970;80:17–24.
8. Schurch W, Skalli O, Lagace R, Seemayer TA, Gabbiani G. Intermediate filament proteins and actin isoforms as markers for soft-tissue tumor differentiation and origin. III. Hemangiopericytomas and glomus tumors. *Am J Pathol.* 1990;136(4):771–86.
9. Thompson LDR, Fanburg-Smith JC, Wenig BM. Borderline and low malignant potential tumours of soft tissues. In: Barnes L, Eveson JW, Reichart P, editors. *Pathology and Genetics. Head and Neck Tumours.* WHO classification of Tumours. Lyon: IARC Press; 2005. p. 43–4.
10. Watanabe K, Saito A, Suzuki M, Yamanobe S, Suzuki T. True hemangiopericytoma of the nasal cavity. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2001;125(5):686–90.
11. Granter SR, Badizadegan K, Fletcher CD. Myofibromatosis in adults, glomangiopericytoma, and myopericytoma: a spectrum of tumors showing perivascular myoid differentiation. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 1998;22(5):513–25.
12. Thompson LDR, Miettinen M, Wenig BM. Sinonasal-type hemangiopericytoma: a clinico-pathologic and immune-phenotypic analysis of 104 cases showing perivascular myoid differentiation. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2003;27(6):737–49.
13. Park YK, Park JH, Kim YW, Lee JH, Yang MH. Nasal hemangiopericytoma. A case report. *J Kor Med Sci.* 1990;5(3):173–8.
14. Naggar AE, Batsakis JG, Garcia GM, Luna ML, Goepfert H. Sinonasal hemangiopericytomas. A clinico-pathologic and DNA content study. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 1992;118(2):134–7.
15. Fletcher CD. Hemangiopericytoma - a dying breed? Reappraisal of an 'entity' and its variants. A hypothesis. *Curr Diagn Pathol.* 1994;1(1):19–23.
16. Gudrun R. Hemangiopericytoma in Otolaryngology. *J Laryng Otol.* 1979;93:477–94.
17. Ledderose GJ, Gellrich D, Holtmannspötter M, Leunig A. Endoscopic resection of sinonasal hemangiopericytoma following preoperative embolisation: a case report and literature review. *Case Rep Otolaryngol.* 2013;2013:796713. doi:10.1155/2013/796713.
18. Duval M, Hwang E, Kilty SJ. Systematic review of treatment and prognosis of sinonasal hemangiopericytoma. *Head Neck.* 2013;35(8):1205–10.
19. Shobha BV, Shivakumar BN, Reddy S, Dutta N. Sinonasal hemangiopericytoma: A rare case report with review of literature. *J Oral Maxillofac Pathol.* 2015;19(1):107.

Author biography

Bhanushree C S, Professor  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4637-8837>

Cite this article: Bhanushree C S. An enigmatic rare tumor of sino-nasal cavity. *Indian J Pathol Oncol* 2023;10(2):112-113.